
INVITED LECTURE

**QUANTITATIVE RHEUMATOLOGY:
MEASURES IN RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS**

Božidar ĆURKOVIĆ

School of Medicine
University of Zagreb
Clinical Hospital Center Zagreb
Department of Rheumatology and
Rehabilitation

Zagreb, Croatia

Correspondence to:

bcurkov@mef.hr

ABSTRACT

Rheumatoid arthritis is systemic autoimmune progressive disease leading to impairment of the joints, functional disability and handicap. Early diagnosis, early prescription of different pharmacologic therapy and non pharmacological treatment produce benefits for prognosis and outcome. The efficacy assessment of the treatment and tight control of the patients lead to achieving target of the RA treatment- remission. Quantitative measurement enable evaluation of disease activity, radiologic progression and functional status and physical activity in the patients with rheumatoid arthritis.

Keywords: *rheumatoid arthritis, early diagnosis, disease activity, outcome measures*

INTRODUCTION

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is systemic, autoimmune disease with persistent joint inflammation leading to the joint impairment and loss of function. Substantial irreversible damage occurs within the first 2 years.¹ Early diagnosis and early treatment influence better prognosis and outcome. The therapeutic target is remission of disease or minimal disease activity. Presumptions to achieving these goals are early prescription of different pharmacological therapy (disease modifying antireumatic drugs, glucocorticosteroids and biologic agents), tight control and on time evaluation of efficacy and tolerability and change of therapeutic strategy as needed. Non-pharmacologic treatment and quantitative measurement of disease activity, radiologic progression and response to the therapy are necessary to achieving this target.^{2,3} Various disease activity indices improvement scores and outcome measures were developed to enable evaluation of disease activity and response to treatment in individual patients.

DISEASE ACTIVITY

Tender and swelling joint count is most specific clinical parameter to assess clinical status.^{4,5} ACR (American College of Rheumatology) joint count is widely accepted as measure of disease activity. The classical 66/68-joint count with graded scoring (0-4) for swelling, tenderness, pain on motion, limited motion, and deformity has been shortened for clinical care to a 28-joint count, scored only as 'Yes' or 'No' for swelling or tenderness.^{6,7} The ACR Core Data Set includes seven measures: three from an assessor – tender joint count, swollen joint count, and physician/assessor estimate of global status; one from a laboratory test – ESR or CRP; and three from patient self-report questionnaire – physical function, pain, and patient estimate of global status.⁸ EULAR (European League Against Rheumatism) criteria for disease activity DAS (Disease Activity Score) are based on tender and swelling joint count, sedimentation rate (ESR) and patient's general health assessment on visual analogue scale (VAS)⁹ These indices have been widely used in essentially all RA clinical trials over the last two decades. Various modifications were made. In clinical practice

DAS28 score (28 tender and swelling joints, ESR with or without patients general health assessment) is generally accepted.¹⁰ Smolen et al. proposed simplified activity index (SDAI-Simplified Disease Activity Index) based on numerical summe of 5 parameters: number of tender joints (28), number of swollen joints (28), patients disease activity assessment (VAS), physician disease activity assessment (VAS) and CRP.¹¹ However, acute phase reactants add little to composite disease activity indices for rheumatoid arthritis, Aletaha et al. proposed clinical disease activity index (CDAI identical as SDAI without ESR and CRP.¹²

DISABILITY MEASURE IN RA

The Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ)¹³ is the gold standard functional status questionnaire recommended by ACR.⁸ The HAQ asks the patient to rate on a four-point ordered category item scale the degree of difficulty they have experienced over the last week with each of 20 tasks, grouped into 8 functional areas with scores further adjusted based on an additional 21 questions regarding the use of companion aids or devices. Scores are then converted into an overall mean score ranging from 0-3, with 0 indicating no functional impairment and 3 indicating complete impairment.¹⁴ Three shorter versions, modified-HAQ (MHAQ), multidimensional-HAQ (MDHAQ), and HAQII, are often used in outcomes research as HAQ substitutes. MHAQ asks patients to answer 8 questions; 1 in each of the 8 functional areas explored with the HAQ.¹⁵ MDHAQ was created as a further modification of the HAQ, designed with 10 formally scored activity questions and an additional 3 non-scored items (sleep, anxiety, and depression) to assess psychological status with the resultant score again converted into an overall mean score ranging from 0-3.¹⁶ Based on the original HAQ, the HAQII is a 10-item functional questionnaire with scores ranging from 0-3.¹⁷ The conversion formulas between these modified versions and the original HAQ is developed.¹⁴

RADIOLOGICAL PROGRESSION OF RA

From the Steinbrocker's classification of radiological features in RA various standard or computerized, simple or complicate methods of scoring of the joint space narrowing and erosions in different areas of the hands and feet are developed.¹⁸⁻²³ Diagnostic ultrasound and magnetic resonance offer huge possibility in the diagnosis of early RA and radiological progression.^{24,25}

TREATMENT RESPONSE AND OUTCOME

MEASURES

The target of RA treatment is remission. If remission is not possible, minimal disease activity or improvement at least 20 % is appropriate. Improvement of 20% in both tender and swollen joint counts, as well as three of the five additional measures (patient and physician global assessments, pain, disability, and an acute-phase reactant.), known as "ACR 20", is designated as the ACR preliminary definition of improvement.²⁶ The EULAR response criteria classify individual patients as non-, moderate, or good responders, dependent on the extent of change and the level of disease activity reached.²⁷ International group for Outcomes Measures in Rheumatoid Arthritis Clinical Trials (OMERACT) has established criteria for minimal disease activity. Minimal disease activity match to DAS28 $\leq 2,85$ and to 5 from 7 WHO/ILAR parameters.²⁸ Criteria for remission of RA were also developed. Six criteria according ACR yielded optimal discrimination: morning stiffness absent or not exceeding 15 minutes, no fatigue, no joint pain by history, no joint tenderness, no joint or tendon sheath swelling, and no elevation of erythrocyte sedimentation rate. The remission is defined by the presence of 5 from 6 criteria (pain (0-10) ≤ 2 ; swollen joint count (28) ≤ 1 ; tender joint count (28) ≤ 1 ; HAQ $\leq 0,5$; physician global assessment of disease activity (0-10) $\leq 1,5$; patient global assessment of disease activity (0-10) ≤ 2 ; ESR ≤ 20 . in 2 consecutive months.²⁹ Equivalents to this definition is DAS28 score $< 2,6$, CDAI $\leq 2,8$, SDAI $\leq 3,3$.³⁰

CONCLUSION

Remission is treatment target in RA. To achieve this target, early diagnosis and early prescription of pharmacological treatment are necessary. Criteria for early diagnosis, disease activity and response to treatment are established. Tight control and measures are important for properly decisions and prognosis and outcome in RA.

REFERENCES

1. van der Heijde DM. Joint erosions and patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. *Br J Rheumatol.* 1995;34 (Suppl 2):74-78.
2. Goekoop-Ruiterman YP, de Vries-Bouwstra JK, Allaart CF et al. Clinical and radiographic outcomes of four different treatment strategies in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis (the BeSt study): A randomized, controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2008;58(Suppl2):S126-135.
3. Grigor C, Capell H, Stirling A et al. Effect of a treatment strategy of tight control for rheumatoid arthritis (the TICORA study): a single-blind randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2004;364:263-269.

4. Sokka T, Pincus T: Quantitative joint assessment in rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2005;23:S58-62.
5. Thompson PW, Kirwan JR: Joint count: a review of old and new articular indices of joint inflammation. *Br J Rheumatol* 2006;1003.
6. Cooperating Clinics Committee of the American Rheumatism Association: A seven-day variability study of 499 patients with peripheral rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1965;8:302-35.
7. Pincus T, Yazici Y, Sokka T. Quantitative measures of rheumatic diseases for clinical research versus standard clinical care: differences, advantages and limitations. *Best Practice Res Clin Rheumatology* 2007;21:601-628.
8. Felson DT, Anderson JJ, Boers M et al. The American college of rheumatology preliminary core set of disease activity measures for rheumatoid arthritis clinical trials. *Arthritis Rheum* 1993;36:729-740.
9. Van der Heijde DM, van 't Hof MA, van Riel PL et al. Judging disease activity in clinical practice in rheumatoid arthritis: first step in the development of a disease activity score. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 1990;49:916-20
10. Prevoo ML, van 't Hof MA, Kuper HH, van Leeuwen MA, van de Putte LB, van Riel PL. Modified disease activity scores that include twenty-eight-joint counts. Development and validation in a prospective longitudinal study of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1995;38:44-8.
11. Smolen JS, Breedveld FC, Schiff MH et al. A simplified disease activity index for rheumatoid arthritis for use in clinical practice. *Rheumatology* 2003;42:244-257.
12. Aletaha D, Nell VPK, Stamm T et al. Acute phase reactants add little to composite disease activity indices for rheumatoid arthritis : validation of a clinical activity score. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2005;7: R796-R806.
13. Fries JF, Spitz P, Kraines RG, Holman HR. Measurement of patient outcome in arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1980;23:137-45.
14. Anderson J, Sayles H, Curtis JR et al. Converting MHAQ, MDHAQ and HAQII scores into HAQ scores using models developed with a large cohort of RA patients. *Arthritis Care Res DOI* 10.1002/acr.20265.
15. Pincus T, Summey JA, Soraci SA, Jr, Wallston KA, Hummon NP. Assessment of patient satisfaction in activities of daily living using a modified stanford health assessment questionnaire. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1983;26:1346-53.
16. Pincus T. A multidimensional health assessment questionnaire (MDHAQ) for all patients with rheumatic diseases to complete at all visits in standard clinical care. *Bull NYU Hosp Jt Dis.* 2007;65:150-60.
17. Wolfe F, Michaud K, Pincus T. Development and validation of the health assessment questionnaire II: A revised version of the health assessment questionnaire. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2004 Oct;50(10):3296-305.
18. Steinbrocker O, Traeger CH, Batterman RC. Therapeutic criteria in rheumatoid arthritis. *JAMA* 1999;140:659-62.
19. Sharp JT, Lidsky MD, Collins LC, Moreland J. Methods of scoring the progression of radiologic changes in rheumatoid arthritis. Correlation of radiologic, clinical and laboratory abnormalities. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1971;14:706-20.
20. Larsen A, Dale K, Eek M. Radiographic evaluation of rheumatoid arthritis and related conditions by standard reference films. *Acta Radiol Diagn (Stockh)* 1977;18:481-91.
21. Sharp JT, Young DY, Bluhm GB, Brook A, Brower AC, Corbett M, et al. How many joints in the hands and wrist should be included in a score of radiologic abnormalities used to assess rheumatoid arthritis? *Arthritis Rheum* 1985;28:1326-35.
22. Genant HK, Jiang Y, Peterfy C, Lu Y, Redei J, Countryman PJ. Assessment of rheumatoid arthritis using a modified scoring method on digitized and original radiographs. *Arthritis Rheum* 1998;41:1583-90.
23. Sharp JT, Gardner JC, Bennett EM. Computer-based methods for measuring joint space and estimating erosion volume in the finger and wrist joints of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;43:1378-86.
24. D'Agostino MA, Conaghan PG, Naredo E et al. The OMERACT ultrasound task force -- Advances and priorities. *J Rheumatol* 2009;36:1829-32.
25. Palosaari K, Vuotila J, Takalo R et al. Bone oedema predicts erosive progression on wrist MRI in early RA-a 2-yr observational MRI and NC scintigraphy study. *Rheumatology (Oxford).* 2006;45:1542-8.
26. Felson DT, Anderson JJ, Boers M et al. ACR preliminary definition of improvement in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1995;38:727-735.
27. Van Gestel AM, Prevoo ML, van 't Hof MA et al. Development and validation of the European League Against Rheumatism response criteria for rheumatoid arthritis. Comparison with the preliminary American College of Rheumatology and the World Health Organization/International League Against Rheumatism Criteria. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1996;39:34-40.
28. Wells GA, Boers M, Shea B et al. Minimal disease activity for rheumatoid arthritis : a preliminary definition. *J Rheumatol* 2005;32:2016-2024.
29. Pinals RS, Masi AT, Larsen RA. Preliminary criteria for clinical remission in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1981;24:1308-1315.
30. Aletaha D, Smolen JS. Remission of rheumatoid arthritis: should we care about definitions ? *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2006;24(6Suppl43):S45-51.